

Express Mail Label No. EL 977712660 US

[0001] **QUALITY OF SERVICE MAPPING BETWEEN VARIOUS TYPES OF WIRELESS COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS**

[0002] **CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

[0003] This application claims priority from U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/417,088 which was filed on October 8, 2002, which is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

[0004] **FIELD OF INVENTION**

[0005] The present invention generally relates to wireless communication systems. More specifically, the present invention relates to quality of service in wireless communication systems.

[0006] **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

[0007] The particular type of wireless communication system over which wireless transmit/receive units (WTRUs) operate may vary. For example, users may travel from one area where a particular type of wireless communication system is deployed to another area where a different type of wireless communication system is deployed. Additionally, users may be located in an area where they have the option of choosing between two or more types of wireless communication systems.

[0008] Quality of service requirements are provided in wireless communication systems for various types of services to ensure that the various types of services are supported at reasonable performance levels. These quality of service requirements, however, do not exist in some systems, are defined differently in different systems, and are often inadequately defined. For example, a particular type of service in one system may be supported at a quality of service that is based

on a certain frame error rate (FER). The quality of service, however, for that same service in another system may be inadequately defined or defined with a quality of service that is based on a different parameter such as, for example, signal-to-interference ratio (SIR), average number of packet repeats, or any other parameter other than FER. This poses problems for operating WTRUs across different types of wireless communication systems.

[0009] It would therefore be desirable to provide mapping between various types of wireless communication systems to ensure seamless operation across systems.

[0010]

#### SUMMARY

[0011] The present invention is a method and system for mapping quality of service requirements between various types of wireless communication systems. The mapping is performed according to the type of systems across which the mapping is being provided.

[0012]

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0013] Figure 1 illustrates overlapping coverage areas of various types of wireless communication systems.

[0014] Figure 2 is a plurality of wireless communication systems wherein a WTRU is capable of operating across the plurality of wireless communication systems while maintaining a required level of quality of service.

[0015] Figures 3 and 4 are embodiments of a WTRU that is capable of mapping quality of service requirements between various types of communication systems.

[0016] Figure 5 is a method wherein quality of service requirements may be mapped across various types of wireless communication systems.

[0017] DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0018] The present invention is described with reference to the drawing figures wherein like numerals represent like elements throughout.

[0019] It should be noted that the term base station includes but is not limited to a base station, Node-B, site controller, access point or any other type of interfacing device in a wireless environment. The term wireless transmit/receive unit (WTRU) includes but is not limited to a user equipment, mobile station, fixed or mobile subscriber unit, pager, or any other type of device capable of operating in a wireless environment. The terms system and network are used interchangeably herein as are the terms translate and map when referring to conversion of quality of service (QoS) requirements between various types of wireless communication systems.

[0020] Referring now to Figure 1, there is shown approximate coverage areas for a plurality of different types of wireless communication systems 12, 14, 16, 18. The coverage areas of systems 12, 14, 16, 18 are shown in a particular arrangement, but may, of course, have any type of deployment arrangement based on operator preference. Furthermore, while four types of wireless communication systems are shown for purposes of describing the present invention, there may be any number of types of wireless communication systems.

[0021] Each wireless communication system includes a number of service types wherein a particular QoS requirement is set for each service type. Of particular interest are those service types that relate to user data, such as for example bearer services. Bearer services are any type of service that allows transmission of user-information signals between user-network interfaces in a wireless communication system.

[0022] At a high level, QoS requirements for bearer services are defined in terms of, for example, maximum transfer delay, delay variation, bit or frame error ratio (i.e., BER or FER), and data rate. Although the QoS requirements for bearer

services are generally defined with at least one of these four parameters, there is no standardization of QoS requirements across different types of wireless communication systems. Accordingly, in the present invention, QoS requirements between various types of wireless communication systems are mapped in order to allow seamless operation across the various types of wireless communication systems. The mapping of QoS requirements may be performed in the system itself as part of the handover process, for example, or in the WTRU and may be performed across all types of wireless communication systems whether currently known or later developed.

[0023] Referring now to Figure 2, examples of different types of wireless communication systems 12, 14, 16, 18 are shown. In this example, systems 12, 18 are wireless local area network (WLAN) type wireless communication systems while systems 14, 16 are cellular type wireless communications systems. WLAN type wireless communication systems include wireless local area networks, wireless personal area networks, metropolitan area networks, Bluetooth, 802.11, or the like and are collectively referred to as WLAN type wireless communication systems. Cellular type wireless communication systems include any type of cellular type system including UMTS-FDD, UMTS-TDD, EDGE, GSM/GPRS, CDMA 2000, and TD-SCDMA, for example and are collectively referred to as cellular type wireless communication systems. In this embodiment, WTRUs such as WTRU 10 are capable of seamless operation across the various wireless communication systems 12, 14, 16, 18.

[0024] For example, assume WTRU 10 is utilizing a conversational voice application or service in system 14 which for purposes of this example is a CDMA 2000 system and switches, for whatever reason, to system 16 which again for purposes of this example is a UMTS system. In such a scenario, the QoS requirements of a conversational voice application are defined differently in CDMA 2000 than they are in UMTS. Therefore, to continue running the conversational

voice application (i.e., maintain the user's telephone conversation) the WTRU 10 is configured with an interface such as a QoS translator so that the QoS requirements which are specified in CDMA 2000 language are translated to UMTS language.

[0025] It should be noted that the QoS translator may be utilized to ensure seamless operation between any two types of wireless communication systems. By way of example, an explanation of a WTRU operating across a UMTS system and a WLAN type wireless communication system is provided below.

[0026] Referring still to Figure 2, assume system 16 is a UMTS system and WTRU 10 has an ongoing interactive game application running in UMTS on system 16 and further that WTRU 10 is going to handover to system 18 which is a WLAN type system.

[0027] As mentioned above, wireless communication systems have specific QoS definitions for each service type and use different languages when transmitting information over their respective bearer service. By way of example, in UMTS, delay and BER requirements are often defined as shown in the table below (i.e., table 1) taken from 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Partnership Project Technical Specification (3GPP TS) 22.105 v620.

	Real Time (Constant Delay)	New Real Time (Variable Delay)
Operating environment	BER/Max Transfer Delay	BER/Max Transfer Delay
Satellite (Terminal relative speed to ground up to 1000 km/h for plane)	Max Transfer Delay less than 400 ms BER 10 <sup>-3</sup> - 10 <sup>-7</sup> (Note 1)	Max Transfer Delay 1200 ms or more (Note 2)  BER = 10 <sup>-5</sup> to 10 <sup>-8</sup>
Rural outdoor (Terminal relative speed to ground up to 500 km/h) (Note 3)	Max Transfer Delay 20 - 300 ms BER 10 <sup>-3</sup> - 10 <sup>-7</sup> (Note 1)	Max Transfer Delay 150 ms or more (Note 2)  BER = 10 <sup>-5</sup> to 10 <sup>-8</sup>
Urban/ Suburban outdoor (Terminal relative speed to ground up to 120 km/h)	Max Transfer Delay 20 - 300 ms BER 10 <sup>-3</sup> - 10 <sup>-7</sup> (Note 1)	Max Transfer Delay 150 ms or more (Note 2)  BER = 10 <sup>-5</sup> to 10 <sup>-8</sup>
Indoor/ Low range outdoor (Terminal relative speed to ground up to 10 km/h)	Max Transfer Delay 20 - 300 ms BER 10 <sup>-3</sup> - 10 <sup>-7</sup> (Note 1)	Max Transfer Delay 150 ms or more (Note 2)  BER = 10 <sup>-5</sup> to 10 <sup>-8</sup>

NOTE 1: There is likely to be a compromise between BER and delay.  
 NOTE 2: The Max Transfer Delay should be here regarded as the target value for 95% of the data.  
 NOTE 3: The value of 500 km/h as the maximum speed to be supported in the rural outdoor environment was selected in order to provide service on high speed vehicles (e.g. trains). This is not meant to be the typical value for this environment (250 km/h is more typical).

Table 1.

[0028] Similarly, QoS may also be defined in terms of the end user experience for real-time, interactive games, and streaming applications. By way of example, table 2, shown below, lists the end user QoS targets for UMTS and is also taken from 3GPP TS 22105 v620.

Medium	Application	Degree of symmetry	Data rate	Key performance parameters and target values		
				End-to-end One-way Delay	Delay Variation within a call	Information loss
Audio	Conversational voice	Two-way	4-25 kb/s	<150 msec preferred <400 msec limit Note 1	< 1 msec	< 3% FER
Video	Videophone	Two-way	32-384 kb/s	< 150 msec preferred <400 msec limit Lip-synch : < 100 msec		< 1% FER
Data	Telemetry - two-way control	Two-way	<28.8 kb/s	< 250 msec	N/A	Zero
Data	Interactive games	Two-way	< 1 KB	< 250 msec	N/A	Zero
Data	Telnet	Two-way (asymmetric)	< 1 KB	< 250 msec	N/A	Zero

Table 2.

[0029] In the current example, therefore, the exemplary QoS definitions in the above table for interactive games will have to be translated (i.e., mapped) to whatever QoS definitions are used by system 18. Translation of the interactive games QoS requirements will enable the interactive game to continue despite the user switching to the WLAN type system 18.

[0030] The translation or mapping between various types of wireless communication systems may be performed in any manner, as desired, with the important point being that such mapping occurs. Purely by way of example, the following table (i.e., table 3) shows a possible mapping of QoS requirements between a UMTS system and a WLAN type system. This table assumes system 18 is an 802.11 type network, but as mentioned the system 18 may be any type of WLAN type system.

<b>802.11 Parameter</b>	<b>Possible Mapping from UMTS QoS Classes</b>
Traffic Type (TS Info)	1: Conversational 0: Streaming, Interactive, Background
Ack Policy (TS Info)	No acknowledgement: Conversational, Streaming Acknowledgement: Interactive, Background
FEC (TS Info)	N/A
User Priority (0-7) (TSInfo)	2 : Conversational 3: Streaming 4,5,6 : Interactive (Traffic Handling Priorities) 7 (Lowest): Background
Direction (TS Info)	Uplink/Downlink
Inactivity Interval	N/A
Nominal MSDU Size	N/A (23.107 defines maximum SDU size)
Minimum Data Rate	Guaranteed bit rate
Mean Data Rate	N/A
Maximum Burst Size	Maximum SDU size
Minimum Tx Rate	N/A
Delay Bound	Transfer Delay, if applicable
Jitter Bound	N/A

Table 3.

[0031] It is important to note that the mapping may be performed not only in a WTRU, but may also be performed in the system itself. Of course, when performed in the system, the mapping may be performed in any system component as desired. Figures 3 and 4 are examples wherein translation of QoS requirements is performed in the WTRU and are described below.

[0032] Referring now to Figure 3, there is shown a WTRU 50 wherein QoS requirements of various wireless communication systems may be mapped across various types of wireless communication systems. The WTRU 50 includes an application 52 and a plurality of bearers 54<sub>1</sub>, 54<sub>2</sub>, and 54<sub>n</sub>, which will be collectively referred to using reference numeral 54. In this embodiment, the application 52 includes the mapping functionality. That is, in the example outlined above, prior to handover the user is operating in the UMTS system 16. Therefore, the application 52 is providing QoS requirements to the UMTS bearer, say bearer 54<sub>1</sub>, and those QoS requirements are defined according to UMTS specifications. Once the user hands over to the WLAN type system 18, another bearer, say bearer 54<sub>2</sub>, is used to

transmit information in system 18. However, information transmission in the WLAN type system 18 has different QoS definitions that must now be utilized when transmitting information over bearer 54<sub>2</sub>. This is a problem in that because the application was initiated while operating in the UMTS system 16, the application 52 is speaking in terms of UMTS. Therefore, to continue running the application when the user hands over to system 18, the application stops sending information over bearer 54<sub>1</sub> using UMTS QoS definitions and begins sending information over bearer 54<sub>2</sub> using appropriate QoS definitions for WLAN type system 18. It should be noted that, as mentioned, system 18 may be any type of WLAN type system and therefore the appropriate QoS definitions may vary accordingly. It should also be noted that the number of bearers 54 that are provided preferably corresponds to the number of system types across which a WTRU may operate seamlessly. Preferably, the application is configured to translate between every system type for which a bearer, or the like, is provided.

[0033] Referring now to Figure 4, another embodiment is shown wherein the WTRU 50 is again capable of operating seamlessly across any number of various types of wireless communication systems, as desired. In this embodiment, the translation or mapping functionality is separate from the application 52. This arrangement may be preferred in that the application may operate as normal without also being responsible for translating QoS requirements for various types of wireless communication systems.

[0034] In this embodiment, translators 56<sub>2</sub>, 56<sub>n</sub> are provided between the application and, preferably, each bearer except one. Therefore, in situations where application 52 is initiated in a particular type of system, say CDMA 2000, and the user continues operating in that system, the application 52 may communicate directly with bearer 54<sub>1</sub> without having to translate the CDMA 2000 QoS requirements. This is preferably how service is provided in any type of wireless communication system where the user is not going to handover from one system

type to another system type. That is, if WTRU 50 was to switch from CDMA 2000 to UMTS, for example, and initiate a UMTS application, application 52 and bearer 54<sub>1</sub> would then communicate directly using UMTS QoS requirements.

[0035] Where users do handover from one system type to another system type, the application 52 will communicate with the respective bearer of the system to which the user is handing over to. Furthermore, the application 52 will continue running as normal, but its communication with the respective bearer will be appropriately translated prior to receipt by the respective bearer. For example, assuming a handover from a GSM system to an 802.11 network, once handover occurs, communication from application 52 is routed to the 802.11 bearer, say bearer 54<sub>n</sub>. Although the application was initiated while the user was operating in a GSM system, and therefore the application is specifying QoS requirements in terms of GSM, those QoS requirements are translated by bearer 54<sub>n</sub>'s respective translator 56<sub>n</sub> thereby providing the user with seamless operation across the GSM and 802.11 systems.

[0036] Where a handover between different system types occurs and the translation or mapping function is performed in the system itself, the bearer continues to be specified in terms of the system from which the handover occurred. The system to which the user is handing over to, however, recognizes that the QoS requirements are being communicated to it based on definitions from another type of wireless communication system (or are inadequately defined) and translates them appropriately. This enables whatever application or service that was initiated by a user in one system type to continue seamlessly despite the user handing over to another system type. This arrangement also does not require the WTRU that is handing over, to be configured as explained in Figures 3 and 4, for example.

[0037] As previously mentioned, mapping of QoS requirements may be performed in any system component as desired. By way of example, however, in cellular type wireless communication systems, the mapping may occur in the core

network in either, again by way of example, the serving GPRS support node (SGSN) or the home location register (HLR). Of course, in such systems, the mapping may also be performed in the base station or radio network controller (RNC). With respect to WLAN type systems, the mapping may again be performed in any system component as desired. By way of example, the mapping may be performed in an access point, access router, or computer network portion of such systems.

[0038] Referring now to Figure 5, a method 100 is shown for mapping QoS requirements between various types of wireless communication systems. In step 102, the method 100 begins wherein an application or service is initiated in a particular type of wireless communication system. Then, in step 104, QoS requirements are specified on a bearer, or the like, in accordance with the QoS requirements of the particular type of wireless communication system in which the application was initiated.

[0039] In step 106, it is determined whether there is handover to another type of wireless communication system. If no, the method 100 proceeds to step 108 wherein the application or service continues until completed or otherwise ended. If yes, the method 100 proceeds to step 110 wherein QoS requirements are specified in accordance with the QoS requirements of the wireless communication system to which the user is handing over to. This enables a connection and/or session to continue seamlessly from one system type to another.

[0040] If translation occurs in the WTRU, the QoS requirements are specified on a bearer, or the like, in accordance with the QoS requirements of the system to which the user is handing over to. If translation occurs in the system, the QoS requirements are specified on a bearer, or the like, according to the QoS requirements of the system from which the user is handing over from and the system which the user is handing over to performs the appropriate translation.

[0041] Although the present invention has been described in conjunction with particular types of wireless communication systems, the present invention is not

limited thereto and instead may be implemented across all types of wireless communication systems. Furthermore, although cellular and WLAN type systems were mentioned, other types of wireless communication systems such as, for example, infrared are certainly within the scope of the present invention.

[0042] In addition to the above, while the present invention has been described in terms of various embodiments, other variations, which are within the scope of the invention as outlined in the claims below will be apparent to those skilled in the art.

\*

\*

\*